SUMMARY
Objective: We report the clinical management of two cases with probable interaction between ombitasvir/paritaprevir/ritonavir and dasabuvir with oral vitamin K antagonists.
Results: Two Caucasian men were treated with vitamin K antagonists and ombitasvir/paritaprevir/ritonavir and dasabuvir ± ribavirin for 12 and 24 weeks, respectively. Both patients maintained undetectable viral load during the treatment of hepatitis C. After discontinuing ombitasvir/paritaprevir/ritonavir and dasabuvir, a stable and therapeutic INR was achieved. Although for this, it was necessary to increase the dose of oral vitamin K antagonists. However, only after complete antiviral treatment INR values were normalized. Patients presented more than 98% adherence to antiviral and anticoagulant treatment according to direct-counting of medication. They did not present any other pathology that explains the need of VKA dose increase to reach a stable INR in therapeutic range. Recently, an independent and additional effect of ribavirin has been reported in relation to the dose-response decrease of warfarin when combined with the new AAD regimens.
Conclusions: In patients with HCV treated with VKA and DAA, it would be advisable to stop drugs metabolized by CYP2C9. A close clinical monitoring of anticoagulant treatment would be the best recommended therapy. However, additional studies should be performed to determine which the best therapeutic alternative is for these patients.
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Anticoagulación en pacientes con hepatitis C: antagonistas orales de la vitamina K y antivirales directos

RESUMEN
Objetivo: Descripción del manejo clínico de dos casos de probable interacción entre ombitasvir/paritaprevir/ritonavir y dasabuvir con antagonistas orales de la vitamina K.
Resultados: Dos hombres caucásicos fueron tratados con antagonistas de la vitamina K y ombitasvir/paritaprevir/ritonavir más dasabuvir ± ribavirina durante 12 y 24 semanas respectivamente. Ambos pacientes mantuvieron carga viral indetectable durante el tratamiento de la hepatitis C. Después de la interrupción de ombitasvir/paritaprevir/ritonavir y dasabuvir, y tras aumentar la dosis de los antagonistas orales de la vitamina K, los pacientes alcanzaron un INR estable en rango terapéutico. Sin embargo, tras finalizar el tratamiento antiviral se normalizaron los valores de INR. Los pacientes presentaron más del 98% de adherencia al tratamiento antiviral y anticoagulante, según recuento directo de la medicación. Los pacientes no presentaron ninguna patología o medicación que explique la necesidad de aumentar la dosis de VKA para alcanzar un INR estable en rango terapéutico. Recientemente, se ha reportado la posibilidad de un efecto independiente y adicional de la ribavirina en relación con la disminución de la dosis-respuesta de la warfarina cuando se combina con los nuevos regímenes de AAD.
Conclusiones: Los pacientes con hepatitis C en tratamiento con antivirales de acción directa y antagonistas orales de la vitamina K deben ser estrechamente monitoreados. En estos casos, es recomendable interrumpir el tratamiento con fármacos metabolizados por el CYP2C9. Sin embargo, estudios adicionales deberían realizarse para determinar cuál es la mejor alternativa terapéutica para estos pacientes.
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BACKGROUND
Ombitasvir/paritaprevir/ritonavir (OBV/PTV/r) and dasabuvir (DSV) are direct-acting antivirals (DAA) indicated in hepatitis C virus (HCV) patients. On the other hand, acenocoumarol and warfarin have an antagonistic effect on vitamin K. Both drugs are mainly metabolized by cytochrome P450 isoenzymes. In our patients, an alteration in the anticoagulant effect may increase the risk of hematological disorders. So, oral vitamin K antagonists (VKA) may require more frequent dose adjustment. Currently, there is low evidence of interaction between DAA and VKA. We report the clinical management of two cases of interaction between OBV/PTV/r and DSV with warfarin and acenocoumarol.

CASE 1
A 37-year-old Caucasian male, was diagnosed in 2003 of HCV-1b and congenital aortic valve stenosis requiring cardiac valve replacement and anticoagulation with warfarin (therapeutic range INR: 2.0-3.0). On May 2015, his basal viral load (VL) was 6,940,000 IU/mL with a Fibroscan of 10.3 kPa. He was classified as Child-Pugh B7 with compensated liver cirrhosis and he was proposed for treatment with DAA. On 06/25/2015 (INR: 2.3; serum albumin: 4.1 g/dL), he started oral treatment with OBV/PTV/r 25 mg/150 mg/100 mg once daily (QD), and DSV 250 mg twice daily (BD) for 12 weeks. On 07/02/2015, his INR decreased to 1.4. On 07/29/2015, the anticoagulant treatment with warfarin was stopped due to failure to reach an INR in the therapeutic range. From 07/29/2015 to 09/28/2015, he received treatment with bemiparin 7,500 IU subcutaneous (SC) QD. On 09/24/2015, patient completed HCV treatment with undetectable VL. He achieved sustained viral response 12 weeks later. On 09/18/2015, patient restarted treatment with warfarin 43.8 mg/week. During antiviral therapy (from 06/25/2015 until 09/24/2015), we had to increase dose of warfarin from 38.8 mg/week to 48.1 mg/week (+24%). Following the end of antiviral treatment, we observed a maintained increase of 44% in the INR values. On 09/28/2015, this patient presented stable INR: 2.1, in therapeutic range with warfarin 48.1 mg/week (Table 1). He reported no significant changes in his diet or treatment regimen (atenolol 10.3 mg/100 mg once daily (QD), and DSV 25 mg QD) during the study.

CASE 2
A 48-year-old Caucasian man was diagnosed in 2005 of abdominal aneurysm, prostate benign hyperplasia and HCV-1a, permanent atrial fibrillation and rheumatic valvulopathy requiring mitral valve replacement and anticoagulation with acenocoumarol (therapeutic range of INR: 2.5-3.5). On March, his baseline VL was 1,270,000 IU/mL with a Fibroscan of 38.7 kPa. He was classified as Child-Pugh B7 with compensated liver cirrhosis. So, he was proposed for treatment with DAA. On 04/06/2015 (INR value: 2.0; Serum albumin: 3.7 g/dL) he started oral treatment with OBV/PTV/r 25 mg/150 mg/100 mg QD plus DSV 250 mg BD and ribavirin 600 mg BD for 24 weeks. On 04/16/2015, his INR decreased (From 2.0 to 1.3). From 04/16/2015 to 05/04/2015, he received enoxaparin 80 mg SC QD. During antiviral therapy, from 04/06/2015 to 05/11/2015, acenocoumarol dose was increased from 12 mg/week to 24 mg/week (+100%). On 09/21/2015 he completed DAA therapy with undetectable VL. Patient achieved sustained VL 12 weeks later. On 10/13/2015, he presented INR: 3.2 (Increase of 30% since the last control). During DAA therapy, he reported no significant changes in his diet or treatment regimen (atenolol 50 mg QD, doxazosin 4 mg QD). Patients had not change in its hepatic and renal function along the study. They not developed thromboembolism, thrombosis, bleeding episodes, alterations in bilirubin values or signs of hemolysis. After performing the probability analysis of pharmacological interactions in the two cases, according to the Horn scale, we obtained a result of 5 points, which indicates a "probable interaction" between the DAA and the VKA.

DISCUSSION
These clinical cases presented show patients treated with OBV/PTV/r plus DSV and VKA with an INR decrease when they administered together. This decrease disappeared after completing DAA treatment.

Both patients presented a sustained decrease in their INR after starting treatment with DAA and VKA. They required low molecular weight heparin to try to stabilize their INR, but they not achieved therapeutic range.

Only after complete antiviral treatment INR values were normalized. Patients presented more than 98% adherence to antiviral and anticoagulant treatment according to direct-counting of medication. They did not present any other pathology that explains the need of VKA dose increase to reach a stable INR in therapeutic range.

The significant decrease of INR values seems to indicate the implication of several drugs. We could explain this probable interaction by two hypotheses. First, INR values also could have decreased after ritonavir administration through induction of the anticoagulant metabolism of several isoenzymes of CYP450 (CYP1A2, CYP2C9 and CYP2C19)4-6. In addition, the effect of DAA on CYP2C9 cytochrome, by inducing their activity, should be taken into account. In particular, the DAA are inducers of CYP2C9 cytochrome, by inducing their action, increase the hydroxylation of VKA. After this hydroxylation, VKA are more sensitive to renal elimination, with the consequent decrease in their pharmacological effect in the coagulation cascade7.

Second, a 62% increase in paritaprevir AUC (PTV) has been reported in patients with moderate hepatic impairment. There was also an increase of 950% in the PTV AUC and 325% in the DSV AUC in patients with severe hepatic impairment treated with these drugs8. Both patients had moderate hepatic dysfunction, so an increase of PTV AUC could be expected and it could have led to decrease VKA plasma concentrations. Also, it has been reported that administration of OBV/PTV/r plus DSV does not affect R- and S-warfarin plasma concentrations (±12% change in AUC) and patients treated with these DAA and VKA did not require VKA doses adjustment9. However, our patients had to increase the dose of VKA to try to achieve the therapeutic range. In a recent study, an independent and additional effect of ribavirin has been reported in relation to the dose-response decrease of warfarin when combined with the new AAD regimens10. In patients with HCV treated with VKA and DAA, it would be advisable to stop drugs metabolized by CYP2C9. A close clinical monitoring of anticoagulant treatment would be the best recommended therapy. However, additional studies should be performed to determine which is the best therapeutic alternative for these patients.
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Table 1

Anticoagulation in patients with hepatitis C in treatment with oral vitamin K antagonists and direct antivirals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th></th>
<th>Case 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>INR*</td>
<td>Anticoagulant dose, mg/wk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 March 2015</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>37.5 (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 April 2015</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 June 2015</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 June 2015</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 July 2015</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 July 2015</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 July 2015</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 July 2015</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 September 2015</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 September 2015</td>
<td>1.6*</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 September 2015</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 October 2015</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 November 2015</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 December 2015</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AC: acenocoumarol; W: warfarin; *INR: International Normalized Ratio (therapeutic range: case 1; 2.0-3.0 and case 2; 2.5-3.5); *: patients started direct-acting antivirals (DAA) treatment (hepatitis C genotype 1) with ombitasvir/paritaprevir/ritonavir (OBV/PTV/r) plus dasabuvir (DSV) ± ribavirin for 12 weeks (case 1) and 24 weeks (case 2); ± DAA treatment was stopped. Case 1: on 07/29/15, patient stopped warfarin and started bemiparin 7,500 IU once daily (QD). On 09/18/2015 he restart treatment with warfarin. On 09/28/15, he stopped low-molecular-weigh heparin (LMVH) treatment. Case 2: on 04/16/15, patient started enoxaparin 80 mg SC QD. On 05/04/15, he stopped LMVH treatment. Patients did not present disturbances in its hepatic and renal function along the study. They did not develop thrombo-embolism, thrombosis either bleeding episodes.